Previously Failed CRT Cases Implanted Successfully Using a Guide Support Based Telescoping CRT Delivery System


Seth J. Worley MD FHRS FACC Implant Program The Heart Center Lancaster General Hospital Lancaster, PA. USA


Conflict of Interest:

- The two cases presented here had failed implant attempts by experienced implanters at other centers.
- A variety of device company delivery systems were used in both failed.
- The author developed and has intellectual property rights for the telescoping guide based delivery system used for the successful implants described here

Ergonomics for LV Lead Implantation


- When implanting LV leads turning the table to the position illustrated in Panel B improves the ergonomics
- The assistant is in a better position to help
- The catheters are not kinked






- CRT-indicated 75 year old male was referred to our center following a failed 3 hour attempt using various device company delivery systems.
- The attempt at the other center failed because the LV lead would not track over the wire into the target vein despite the use of multiple types of wires.


 At our center initial coronary sinus (CS) access was obtained with the 9F Pressure **Products** SafeSheathCSG® **Braided Core Worley-**STD® anatomicallyshaped, peel-away sheath (sheath).




- Occlusive CS venography was performed
- The target vein attempted at the other institution was identified in the AP projection.




 The target vein attempted at the other institution was identified in the LAO projection.




The 5 F vein selector was inserted into the a 9 F SafeSheath® Worley Telescopic Braided Series Renal Lateral Vein Introducer® from Pressure Products (9 F "Renal" LVI guide).



 The vein selector/LVI guide were inserted into the long 9 F sheath located in the CS.




- The "Renal" LVI guide was advanced to the tip of the the long 9 F sheath located in the CS.
- The vein selector was advanced into the CS.
- The target vein was identified with puffs of contrast from the vein selector




- The vein selector was advanced into the target vein.
- An angioplasty wire was then advanced into the vein through the vein selector.
- The vein selector was advanced further into the vein over the wire.


 Using the angioplasty wire and a vein selector as a rail the "Renal LVI guide was advanced into the vein.



- The vein selector was removed.
- Contrast injection confirmed that the tip of the Renal LVI guide was in the vein



- The 6 F pacing lead was then easily advanced into the vein.
- The "Renal" LVI guide was cut away
- The sheath was pealed away




 The implant time from incision to lead tie down was 25 minutes.


- CRT-indicated 53 year old female was referred to our center following a failed 2 1/2 hour attempt using the device company delivery system.
- The attempt at the other center failed because the LV lead would not track over the wire into the target vein despite the use of multiple types of wires.

 At our center initial coronary sinus access was obtained with the 9 F Pressure Products SafeSheathCSG® Braided Core Worley-STD® anatomically-shaped, peelaway sheath.


 The occlusive CS venogram demonstrated the target vein attempted at the other center



 Using the approach described in Case 1 a SafeSheath® Worley 9F Telescopic **Braided Series Renal** Lateral Vein Introducer® ("Renal" LVI guide) was advanced into the target vein



- A 6 F bipolar lead was then advanced into the target vein.
- The guide was cut away.
- The sheath pealed away.
- Implant time was 20 minutes from incision to LV lead tie down



Conclusion

- Inability to advance the LV lead despite successfully placing a wire in the target vein prevents successful implantation in some cases.
- Delivery systems are available that provide the guide support necessary for successful implantation.